

# A study on granular martingales



Nan Fang

Institute of Software, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Nov 2019, Chongqing

# Betting strategies

- ▶ You are in a casino, betting your money on binary outcomes.
- ▶ Each round, you bet some wager on your guessed outcome.
- ▶ If you win, you double your wager.
- ▶ If you lose, the casino takes your wager.
- ▶ You may occasionally **save/consume** some money by withdrawing it forever from the casino.

As a *good* player, along with an infinite outcome sequence, you may

- ▶ **reach** unbounded profit (**winning strategy**)
- ▶ or **save** unbounded profit (**saving strategy**).

# Formulation of betting strategies

A betting strategy is presented by a (super)martingale which records the capital after each stage, and which is a function  $M: 2^{<\omega} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{0+}$  such that

$$M(\sigma) \geq \frac{M(\sigma \smallfrown 0) + M(\sigma \smallfrown 1)}{2}.$$

► **wager** on  $i$  at stage  $\sigma$ :

$$w_M(\sigma \smallfrown i) = \frac{M(\sigma \smallfrown i) - M(\sigma \smallfrown (1-i))}{2}.$$

► **marginal savings** at stage  $\sigma$ :

$$M^*(\sigma) = M(\sigma) - \frac{M(\sigma \smallfrown 0) + M(\sigma \smallfrown 1)}{2}.$$

► **guessed outcome** is  $i$  if:

$$w_M(\sigma \smallfrown i) > 0.$$

► **(accumulated) savings** of  $M$ :

$$S_M(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau < \sigma} M^*(\tau).$$

►  $M$  **succeeds** on  $X$ , if  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(X \upharpoonright n) = \infty$ ;

►  $M$  **successfully saves** on  $X$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_M(X \upharpoonright n) = \infty$ .

# Properties of betting strategies

If there is no restriction on the wager, a winning strategy always has the following two properties.

1. “win with small initial capital”: Given a martingale  $A$  one can construct a martingale  $B$  with arbitrarily small initial capital, such that  $B$  succeeds on any sequence which  $A$  succeeds on.
2. “win by saving”: Given a martingale  $A$  one can construct a martingale  $B$ , such that  $B$  successfully saves on any sequence which  $A$  succeeds on.  
(known as “savings trick”)

Both properties can be easily proved with proportional betting strategy.

# Restricting the wagers

As realistic restrictions, the casino may require each wager to be

- ▶ a multiple of some betting unit;
- ▶ linearly bounded by the betting unit.

If such restrictions are imposed to the wagers, the proportional betting strategy may be hindered.

## Theorem (“savings paradox”, [Teutsch])

There exists an integer-valued supermartingale  $M$  such that for any countable class  $C$  of integer-valued supermartingales one can always find a sequence on which  $M$  succeeds while none of  $C$  could successfully save.

# Granular betting strategies

We consider a more general case where the betting unit shrinks over stages.

## Definition (granular martingale)

Let  $g: \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$  be a nondecreasing function.

- ▶ A function  $f: 2^{<\omega} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$  is  **$g$ -granular** if  $f(\sigma)$  is an integer multiple of  $2^{-g(|\sigma|)}$  for any  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$ .
- ▶ A (super)martingale  $M$  is  **$g$ -granular** if its **wager**  $w_M$  is a  $g$ -granular function.

We study the two before mentioned properties and distinguish between

- ▶ **fine granularity**:  $g$  is a fast order.
- ▶ **coarse granularity**:  $g$  is constant or a slow order.

## Fine granularity and initial capital

### Theorem ([Barmpalias, Fang])

Let  $g$  be of fine granularity. Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , from any  $g$ -granular supermartingale  $M$  one can construct a  $g$ -granular martingale  $N$  with initial capital  $\epsilon$  such that  $N$  succeeds on any sequence  $M$  succeeds on.

In this case, it is still possible to bet proportionally with careful attention.

## Coarse granularity and initial capital

### Theorem ([Barmpalias, Fang])

Let  $g$  be of coarse granularity. For any  $l \in \mathbb{N}^+$  there exists a  $g$ -granular martingale  $M$  with initial capital  $l$  such that for any  $g$ -granular supermartingale  $N$  with initial capital less than  $l$ , one can find a sequence on which  $M$  succeeds while  $N$  fails.

- ▶ Let  $M$  be the martingale that always bet one granule on side ‘1’ if possible.
- ▶ For any martingale  $N$ , let  $X$  be the sequence such that every bit of it is opposite to the guessed outcomes according to  $N$ .
- ▶ Then  $N$  will fail on  $X$ , while  $M$  will succeed on it.

# Granularity and initial capital

## Theorem ([Barmpalias, Fang])

Let  $g$  be of coarse granularity. Given any  $\epsilon \geq 2^{-g(n)}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from any  $g$ -granular supermartingale  $M$  one can construct a class  $C$  of countable  $g$ -granular martingales with initial capital  $\epsilon$  such that  $C$  succeeds on any sequence  $M$  succeeds on.

|                                                                         | fine granularity | coarse granularity |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| “win with small initial capital”<br>for a single strategy               | ✓                | ×                  |
| “win with small initial capital”<br>for a class of countable strategies | ✓                | ✓                  |

## Fine granularity and saving strategies

### Theorem ([Barmpalias, Fang])

Let  $g$  be of fine granularity. From any supermartingale  $M$  one can construct a  $g$ -granular supermartingale  $N$  such that  $N$  successfully saves on every sequence on which  $M$  succeeds.

In this case, it is still possible to bet proportionally with careful attention.

# Coarse granularity and saving strategies

## Theorem ([Barmpalias, Fang])

Let  $g$  be of coarse granularity. There exists a  $g$ -granular martingale  $M$  such that for any  $g$ -granular supermartingale  $T$  one can find a sequence on which  $M$  succeeds while  $T$  could not successfully save.

- ▶ Let  $M$  be the martingale that always bet one granule on side ‘1’ if possible.
- ▶ For any martingale  $N$ , roughly, let  $X$  be the sequence such that  $\frac{N(X \upharpoonright n)}{M(X \upharpoonright n)}$  is non-increasing.
- ▶ Then along with  $X$  the savings of  $N$  will be constrained, while  $M$  will succeed.

## Granularity and saving strategies

|                                                     | fine granularity | coarse granularity |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| “win by saving” for a single strategy               | ✓                | ×                  |
| “win by saving” for a class of countable strategies | ✓                | ?                  |

# Timid betting strategies

Note that in the previous proof the martingales we constructed have the property that its wagers are linearly bounded by the granules.

## Definition (timid martingale)

Let  $g: \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$  be a nondecreasing function.

- ▶ A function  $f: 2^{<\omega} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$  is **g-timid** if it is  $g$ -granular and there is a constant  $c$  such that  $f(\sigma) \leq c \cdot 2^{-g(|\sigma|)}$  for all  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$ .
- ▶ A (super)martingale  $M$  is **g-timid** if its **wager**  $w_M$  is a  $g$ -timid function.

# Timidness and saving strategies

## Theorem (savings paradox for coarse timid strategies, [Barmpalias, Fang])

Let  $g$  be of coarse granularity. There exists a  $g$ -timid martingale  $M$  such that for any countable class  $C$  of  $g$ -timid supermartingales one can always find a sequence on which  $M$  succeeds while none of  $C$  could successfully save.

## Theorem (weakness of timid martingales, [Barmpalias, Fang])

Let  $g$  be any order. From any  $g$ -timid supermartingale  $M$  one can construct a class  $C$  of countable  $g$ -granular supermartingales such that  $C$  successfully saves on any sequence  $M$  succeeds on.

**Thank you for your attention!**